FIAN-TD-2016-13

On exact solution of topological CFT models based on Kazama-Suzuki cosets

Alexander Belavin [a,c] and Vladimir Belavin [b,c,d]

[a] *L.D. Landau Institute for Theoretical Physics, Akademika Semenova av., 1-A, Chernogolovka, 142432 Moscow region, Russia*

[b] *I.E. Tamm Department of Theoretical Physics, P.N. Lebedev Physical Institute, Leninsky av., 53, 119991 Moscow, Russia*

[c] *Department of Quantum Physics, Institute for Information Transmission Problems, Bolshoy Karetny per. 19, 127994 Moscow, Russia*

[d] *Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology, Dolgoprudnyi, 141700 Moscow region, Russia*

arXiv:1606.05366v1 [hep-th] 16 Jun 2016

Abstract

We compute the flat coordinates on the Frobenius manifolds arising on the deformation space of Gepner $\widehat{SU}(3)_k$ chiral rings. The explicit form of the flat coordinates is important for exact solutions of models of topological CFT and 2d Liouville gravity. We describe the case $k = 3$, which is of particular interest because apart from the relevant chiral fields it contains a marginal one. Whereas marginal perturbations are relevant in different contexts, their analysis requires additional care compared to the relevant perturbations.

1 Introduction

It was revealed in [1–9] that Frobenius Manifold (FM) structure [10] plays an essential role in finding exact solutions of models of Minimal Liouville gravity (MLG) [11–14]. Apparently this connection can be also used to describe so-called $\mathcal{W}$ extensions of Liouville gravity based on Toda CFTs coupled to $\mathcal{W}_N$ minimal models. Meanwhile, a solution of Douglas string equation [15] required for constructing the generating function of MLG correlators has most tractable form in the flat coordinates on the associated FM [5].

An explicit form of the flat coordinates is also necessary for solving models of the topological conformal field theory (TCFT) [16]. It was shown in [17] that a certain class of topological conformal field theories, the so-called Gepner models, which obey the symmetry of Kazama–Suzuki (KS) cosets

$$A(N,k) = \hat{U}(N)_k \times \hat{U}(N-1)_1/\hat{U}(N-1)_{k+1} \ , \tag{1.1}$$

are described in terms of singularity theory, representing a particular class of isolated singularities. In this case (see, e.g., [18, 19]) the topological model is described by superpotential $W_0(x_1, ..., x_n)$, which is quasi-homogeneous polynomial with integer weights $[x_i] = \rho_i$ and $[W_0] = d$, such that

$$W_0(\Lambda^{\rho_i} x_i) = \Lambda^d W_0(x_i) \ . \tag{1.2}$$

The main object in TCFT models is correlation functions of chiral fields and their superpartners $\langle O_{\alpha_1}...O_{\alpha_n} \int \tilde{O}_{\beta_1}... \int \tilde{O}_{\beta_m} \rangle$, defined completely [16] by the *perturbed* potential

$$W(x_i, t^\alpha) = W_0(x_i) + \sum_\alpha t^\alpha e_\alpha(x) \ . \tag{1.3}$$

Here e_α are associated with the perturbation operators and t_α are the coupling constants. Possible perturbations are counted by independent elements of the Jacobi ring

$$R_0 = \mathbb{C}[x_1, ..., x_n] / \left\{ \frac{\partial W_0[x]}{\partial x_i} \right\} \ . \tag{1.4}$$

The elements e_α represent a particular choice of the basis in R_0. The ring of polynomials R_0 is isomorphic to the ring of chiral fields in the corresponding TCFT models (1.1).

The main ingredient of the approach [16] to the TCFT models is the *deformed* Jacobi ring

$$R = \mathbb{C}[x_1, ..., x_n] / \left\{ \frac{\partial W[x,t]}{\partial x_i} \right\} \ . \tag{1.5}$$

The structure constants of the ring R is subject of the following defining relations

$$e_\mu e_\nu = C^\lambda_{\mu\nu} e_\lambda \bmod \frac{\partial W[x,t]}{\partial x_i} \ . \tag{1.6}$$

By analogy with the case of one variable x [16], the metric can be written as

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \operatorname*{Res}_{x_i=\infty} \frac{e_\mu e_\nu \Omega}{\prod_i \partial_i W} \ , \tag{1.7}$$

where $\Omega(t,x)$ is a so-called *primitive form* of Saito [20]. The important feature of the isolated singularities [20] is that there exits an Ω-form such that all FM axioms [10] are fulfilled for the deformation parameters space equipped with the metric (1.7).

Lowering λ in $C^\lambda_{\mu\nu}$ with the metric $g_{\mu\nu}$, one obtains $C_{\mu\nu\lambda}$ tensor, whose components are related to the perturbed three-point functions of the chiral fields $\langle O_\mu O_\nu O_\lambda \exp\left(\sum_\alpha s^\alpha \int \tilde{O}_\alpha\right)\rangle$. The exact equivalence is achieved by the coordinate transformation from the parameters t^μ to the flat coordinates s^μ. The flat coordinate frame, in which the metric is constant, does exist because the Frobenius

manifold is flat. As it was shown in [16], the computation of multi-point correlators is reduced to the computation of the perturbed three-point functions.

An efficient method of computing the flat coordinates for (1.1) models with only relevant perturbations was proposed in [24]. The method is based on the relation between (1.1) models and Gauss-Manin (GM) systems of differential equations. This relation allows, in particular, to conjecture the existence of an integral representation constructed from the solutions of GM systems for the flat coordinates. For $A(2,k)$ models this conjecture was checked in [24]. In [25] the generalization of the integral representation for the flat coordinates was proposed for models with marginal deformations (defined bellow).

In this letter we address the problem, discussed earlier in [20–24], of computing the flat structure (s^μ, Ω) on the FMs arising on the deformations of the isolated singularities. We consider an example of $A(3,3)$ model, where the marginal deformation appears in the spectrum. The explicit computation discussed below allows to verify the integral representation for the flat coordinates proposed in [25].

2 Flat coordinates and Primitive form

For our purposes it is instructive to choose the basis elements e_α to be homogeneous polynomials with weights σ_α, $[e_\alpha] = \sigma_\alpha$, and to assign the weights $[t_\alpha] = \epsilon_\alpha$ to the deformation parameters, according to $\epsilon_\alpha = d - \sigma_\alpha$, so that $W(\Lambda^{\rho_i} x_i, \Lambda^{\epsilon_\alpha} t_\alpha) = \Lambda^d W(x_i, t_\alpha)$. In our convention e_1 is the unity operator, i.e., $\sigma_1 = 0$. We will use the following standard classification. The elements e_α with $\sigma_\alpha < d$, $\sigma_\alpha = d$ and $\sigma_\alpha > d$ are called relevant, marginal and irrelevant respectively. Below we discuss the case, where all elements e_α are relevant or marginal.

Since the Frobenius manifold is flat, there exists a coordinate frame s^μ with constant metric $\eta_{\mu\nu}$. In order to compute the flat coordinates s^μ as functions of the perturbation parameters $t = \{t^\alpha\}$ we will use the ideas analogous to those applied for another class of Ginzburg-Landau models in [26].

First, we note that if for all elements $\sigma_\alpha \leq 1$, then combining (1.6) and (1.7) one can represent the metric in the form

$$g_{\mu\nu} = C_{\mu\nu}^{\lambda_{max}} h(t) \ , \tag{2.1}$$

where function $h(t)$ can be written schematically[1]

$$h(t) = \operatorname*{Res}_{x=\infty} \frac{e_{max}\Omega}{\prod_i \partial_i W} \ , \tag{2.2}$$

and e_{max} is the basis element of maximal weight, $[e_{max}] = \max\{\sigma_\alpha\}$. From the dimensional arguments one can see that all other elements do not contribute to (2.1). Therefore, in order to find the flat coordinates $s^\mu(t)$ we compute first the structure constants in t-frame using (1.6), then from the requirement that Riemann tensor $R_{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma}$ for (2.1) is zero we derive function $h(t)$, and finally solving the defining equations

$$\nabla_\alpha \nabla_\beta s^\mu(t) = \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma \nabla_\gamma s^\mu(t) \ , \tag{2.3}$$

[1]We say "schematically", since strictly speaking the prescription of taking limit in the case of few variables x_i is ambiguous, however we will not use this expression for any explicit computation.

we compute the flat coordinates. In (2.3) ∇_α stands for the covariant derivative and $\Gamma^\gamma_{\alpha\beta}$ are Christoffel symbols for metric $g_{\mu\nu}$.

Let M be the dimension, so-called Milnor number, of the deformed ring R, so that for t^α the index $\alpha = 1, ..., M$. Using dimensional arguments, one can write the following polynomial expansion of the flat coordinates in the monomials constructed from the deformation parameters

$$s^\mu(t^1, ..., t^M) = t^\mu + \sum_{m_\alpha \in \Sigma_\mu} C_\mu(\vec{m}) \prod_{\alpha=1}^{M} \frac{(t^\alpha)^{m_\alpha}}{m_\alpha!} \,, \tag{2.4}$$

where $m_\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and

$$\Sigma_\mu = \Big\{ \{m_\alpha\} \Big| \sum_{\alpha=1}^{M} m_\alpha [t^\alpha] = [s^\mu] \Big\} \,. \tag{2.5}$$

From the dimensional arguments we note that if marginal operators are present, one can in principle allow all possible powers of the corresponding coupling constants to appear in (2.5). Therefore, we assume that (2.4) represent infinite series with non-negative powers in marginal deformations.

3 Flat structure for Gepner models

The superpotential for the Gepner model with symmetry algebra $A(N, k)$ has the following form

$$W_0(x_1, ..., x_{N-1}) = \frac{1}{N+k} \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} q_i^{N+k} \,. \tag{3.1}$$

Here $x_1, ..., x_{N-1}$ are elementary symmetric polynomials of $q_1, ..., q_{N-1}$. The chiral ring of the model is isomorphic to the Jacoby ring

$$R_0(N, k) = \mathbb{C}[x_1, ..., x_{N-1}] \big/ \{\partial_1 W_0[x] ... \partial_{N-1} W_0[x]\} \,. \tag{3.2}$$

One can choose [17] Schur polynomials

$$S_\lambda[q_1, ..., q_{N-1}] = \frac{\det q_i^{N+\lambda_j - j}}{\det q_i^{N-j}} \,, \tag{3.3}$$

as a basis in $R^0(N, k)$. The basis elements are enumerated by Young diagrams λ with $(N-1)$ rows such that $\lambda_1 \leq k$. The dimension of the ring

$$\dim R_0(N, k) = \frac{(N+k-1)!}{k!(N-1)!} \,. \tag{3.4}$$

In what follows we are dealing with $A(3, 3)$ model

$$W_0(x, y) = \frac{1}{6}(q_1^6 + q_2^6) \,, \tag{3.5}$$

where

$$x = q_1 + q_2 \quad \text{and} \quad y = q_1 q_2 \,. \tag{3.6}$$

The basis elements are

$$e_1 \equiv e_{\varnothing} = 1 \ , \quad e_2 \equiv e_{\ydiagram{1}} = q_1 + q_2 \ , \quad e_3 \equiv e_{\ydiagram{1,1}} = q_1 q_2 \ . \quad e_4 \equiv e_{\ydiagram{2}} = q_1^2 + q_1 q_2 + q_2^2 \ ,$$
$$e_5 \equiv e_{\ydiagram{2,1}} = q_1 q_2 (q_1 + q_2) \ , \quad e_6 \equiv e_{\ydiagram{3}} = q_1^3 + q_1^2 q_2 + q_1 q_2^2 + q_2^3 \ , \quad e_7 \equiv e_{\ydiagram{2,2}} = q_1^2 q_2^2 \ ,$$
$$e_8 \equiv e_{\ydiagram{3,1}} = q_1 q_2 (q_1^2 + q_1 q_2 + q_2^2) \ , \quad e_9 \equiv e_{\ydiagram{2,2,1}} = q_1^2 q_2^2 (q_1 + q_2) \ , \quad e_{10} \equiv e_{\ydiagram{3,3}} = q_1^3 q_2^3 \ . \tag{3.7}$$

There is only one marginal deformation e_{10} in this model, all other perturbations $e_1, ..., e_9$ are relevant. The deformed potential reads

$$W(q_1, q_2, t) = \frac{q_1^6 + q_2^6}{6} + \sum_{l=1}^{10} e_l t_l \ . \tag{3.8}$$

Our first task is to compute structure constants in t-frame. To this end we use the definition (1.6). The ideal is generated by $W_x = \partial_x W(x, y)$ and $W_y = \partial_y W(x, y)$. The main point of the computation is that multiplying $W_{x,y}$ by x^a and y^b, where $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, we get sufficient number of linear equations

$$x^a y^b W_x = 0 \ , \quad x^c y^d W_y = 0 \ , \tag{3.9}$$

which can be used to define all necessary multiplication rules $e_i \times e_j$ in the ring R. If the weights of the basis elements are large enough their product contains monomials whose weights exceed 6. Solving (3.9) for $0 \leq a + 2b \leq 7$ and $0 \leq c + 2d \leq 8$, the monomials which do not belong to the basis, considered as unknown variables, can be expressed in terms of the basis elements. In this way we obtain all structure constants. The results are polynomials in relevant coupling constants and rational functions in the marginal coupling t_{10}.

Our next goal is to find explicit form of the metric. Because of the marginal operator e_{10}, the metric is related to the structure constants up to some unknown function of t_{10}. It can be written in the form

$$g_{ij} = h(t_{10}) C_{ij}^{10} \ . \tag{3.10}$$

We find $h(t_{10})$ from flatness requirement. Calculating the elements of Riemann curvature tensor one finds the following condition

$$2\left(1 - 9x^2\right)^2 h(x) h''(x) - 3\left(1 - 9x^2\right)^2 \left(h'(x)\right)^2 - 4\left(18x^2 + 7\right) h^2(x) = 0 \ , \tag{3.11}$$

where $x = t_{10}$. Using following substitution

$$h(x) = \frac{1}{\left(1 - 9x^2\right) y^2(x)} \ , \tag{3.12}$$

one gets

$$\left(9x^2 - 1\right) y''(x) + 18 x y'(x) + 2 y(x) = 0 \ . \tag{3.13}$$

The general solution of this equation is

$$y(x) = c_1 P_{-\frac{1}{3}}(3x) + c_2 Q_{-\frac{1}{3}}(3x) \ , \tag{3.14}$$

where $P_n(x)$ and $Q_n(x)$ are Legendre polynomials. Only one solution is regular in $x = 0$ and the overall factor is fixed by the initial condition $h(0) = 1$. This defines the integration constants

$$c_1 = \frac{\Gamma\left(-\frac{1}{3}\right)^2 \Gamma\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)}{4\ 2^{2/3}\sqrt{3}\pi}\,, \quad c_2 = \frac{\sqrt{3}\Gamma\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{5}{6}\right)}{2\pi^{3/2}}\,. \tag{3.15}$$

The lower terms in the series expansion of $h(x)$ are presented below

$$h(x) = 1 + 7x^2 + \frac{170x^4}{3} + \frac{21482x^6}{45} + \frac{184337x^8}{45} + \frac{14387263x^{10}}{405} + \frac{20727458824x^{12}}{66825} + \ldots\,. \tag{3.16}$$

With the explicit metric $g_{\alpha\beta}(t)$ and knowing the structure constants in t-frame one can find the solutions of (2.3) for the flat coordinates (2.4) up to arbitrary power of the marginal coupling t_{10}. Because the expressions of the flat coordinates t_α for small values of α contain many possible monomials in relevant couplings and look cumbersome, we list below explicit results only for t_α ($\alpha > 4$) up to forth order in t_{10}

$$s_{10} = t_{10}\left(1 + \frac{7t_{10}^2}{3} + \frac{34t_{10}^4}{3}\right), \tag{3.17}$$

$$s_9 = t_9\left(1 + \frac{13t_{10}^2}{2} + \frac{1217t_{10}^4}{24}\right)\,, \tag{3.18}$$

$$\begin{aligned} s_8 = {} & t_9^2\left(1 + 5t_{10} + 19t_{10}^2 + \frac{205t_{10}^3}{3} + 233t_{10}^4\right) \\ & + t_8\left(1 + 2t_{10} + 4t_{10}^2 + \frac{34t_{10}^3}{3} + 28t_{10}^4\right)\,, \end{aligned} \tag{3.19}$$

$$s_7 = t_9^2\left(6t_{10} + 84t_{10}^3\right) + t_7\left(1 + 5t_{10}^2 + \frac{106t_{10}^4}{3}\right)\,, \tag{3.20}$$

$$\begin{aligned} s_6 = {} & t_6\left(1 + \frac{3t_{10}^2}{2} + \frac{79t_{10}^4}{8}\right) + t_5\left(t_{10} + \frac{29t_{10}^3}{6}\right) + t_8t_9\left(1 + 5t_{10} + \frac{33t_{10}^2}{2} + \frac{355t_{10}^3}{6} + \frac{1499t_{10}^4}{8}\right) \\ & + t_7t_9\left(1 + \frac{33t_{10}^2}{2} + \frac{1499t_{10}^4}{8}\right) + t_9^3\left(\frac{5}{6} + \frac{35t_{10}}{3} + \frac{125t_{10}^2}{4} + \frac{4795t_{10}^3}{18} + \frac{26675t_{10}^4}{48}\right)\,, \end{aligned} \tag{3.21}$$

$$\begin{aligned} s_5 = {} & t_5\left(1 + 3t_{10}^2 + 19t_{10}^4\right) + t_6\left(2t_{10} + \frac{26t_{10}^3}{3}\right) + t_8t_9\left(2 + 8t_{10} + 30t_{10}^2 + \frac{296t_{10}^3}{3} + 334t_{10}^4\right) \\ & + t_7t_9\left(8t_{10} + \frac{296t_{10}^3}{3}\right) + t_9^3\left(\frac{8}{3} + \frac{32t_{10}}{3} + 104t_{10}^2 + \frac{2144t_{10}^3}{9} + \frac{5624t_{10}^4}{3}\right)\,, \end{aligned} \tag{3.22}$$

$$s_4 = t_4 + t_6t_9 + t_5t_9 + \frac{1}{2}t_8^2 + t_7t_8 + 2t_7t_9^2 + 4t_8t_9^2 + \frac{7}{2}t_9^4\,, \tag{3.23}$$

$$s_3 = t_3 + 2t_6t_9 + 3t_8t_9^2 + 3t_7t_9^2 + t_8^2 + 2t_9^4\,, \tag{3.24}$$

$$\begin{aligned} s_2 = {} & t_2 + \frac{63t_9^5}{8} + \frac{7}{2}t_7t_9^3 + \frac{21}{2}t_8t_9^3 + 3t_5t_9^2 + \frac{3}{2}t_6t_9^2 + \frac{3}{2}t_7^2t_9 + \\ & + 3t_8^2t_9 + t_4t_9 + 3t_7t_8t_9 + t_6t_7 + t_5t_8 + t_6t_8\,, \end{aligned} \tag{3.25}$$

$$\begin{aligned} s_1 = {} & t_1 + \frac{119t_9^6}{15} + \frac{28}{3}t_7t_9^4 + 14t_8t_9^4 + \frac{7}{3}t_5t_9^3 + \frac{13}{3}t_6t_9^3 + \frac{13}{2}t_8^2t_9^2 + t_3t_9^2 + t_4t_9^2 + \\ & + 2t_5t_7t_9 + 7t_7t_8t_9^2 + 2t_5t_8t_9 + 2t_6t_8t_9 + \frac{t_7^3}{3} + \frac{t_8^3}{3} + t_7t_8^2 + t_5t_6 + t_4t_8 - \frac{t_6^2}{2}\,. \end{aligned} \tag{3.26}$$

4 Comparing to the alternative computation

In [25] an alternative approach was used for the computation of the flat coordinates and the primitive form. In the case $k = 3$ the primitive form looks like

$$\Omega = \lambda(t_{10})dxdy \ . \tag{4.1}$$

It was obtained that

$$\lambda(t_{10}) = {}_2F_1^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{6},\frac{1}{3};\frac{1}{2}\middle| 9t_{10}^2\right) \ . \tag{4.2}$$

This alternative approach is based on an assumption about the integral representation for the flat coordinates. To compare the results of the two approaches and to verify the conjecture of [25] we can use the relation

$$h(t) = \frac{\partial s^{10}(t)}{\partial t^{10}} \ . \tag{4.3}$$

To justify this relation we use the coordinate transformation for the metric

$$g_{\mu\nu} = h(t)C^{10}_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\alpha\beta}\frac{\partial s^\alpha(t)}{\partial t^\mu}\frac{\partial s^\beta(t)}{\partial t^\nu} \ . \tag{4.4}$$

Using this relation for $\mu = 1$ and $\nu = 10$ and taking into account that $C^{10}_{1,10} = 1$, we obtain

$$h(t) = \eta_{\alpha\beta}\frac{\partial s^\alpha(t)}{\partial t^1}\frac{\partial s^\beta(t)}{\partial t^{10}} \ . \tag{4.5}$$

On the other hand,

$$\frac{\partial s^\alpha(t)}{\partial t^1} = \delta_{\alpha 1} \ . \tag{4.6}$$

Indeed, both t^1 and s^1 correspond to the unity element of the ring R. Inserting this relation into (4.5) one finds (4.3). Taking the explicit expression for s^{10} from [25] we arrive to the expression

$$h(t_{10}) = \frac{{}_2F_1\left(\frac{2}{3},\frac{5}{6};\frac{3}{2}\middle| 9t_{10}^2\right)}{{}_2F_1\left(\frac{1}{6},\frac{1}{3};\frac{1}{2}\middle| 9t_{10}^2\right)} + t_{10}\frac{\partial}{\partial t^{10}}\frac{{}_2F_1\left(\frac{2}{3},\frac{5}{6};\frac{3}{2}\middle| 9t_{10}^2\right)}{{}_2F_1\left(\frac{1}{6},\frac{1}{3};\frac{1}{2}\middle| 9t_{10}^2\right)} \ , \tag{4.7}$$

which coincides with (3.12).

The expressions for the flat coordinates (3.17)-(3.26) coincide with the results obtained in [25] and hence confirm the integral representation for the flat coordinates proposed there.

Acknowledgements. We thank D. Gepner and L. Spodyneiko for useful discussions. The work was performed with the financial support of the Russian Science Foundation (Grant No.14-12-01383).

References

[1] A. Belavin and A. Zamolodchikov, *On correlation numbers in 2D minimal gravity and matrix models*, J.Phys. **A42** (2009) 304004.

[2] A. Belavin, G. Tarnopolsky, *Two-dimensional gravity in genus one in matrix models, topological and Liouville approaches*, JETP Letters, Volume **92**, (2010), Issue **4**, pp 257-267.

[3] G. Tarnopolsky, *Five-point correlation numbers in one-matrix model*, J.Phys. **A44** (2011) 325401.

[4] A. Belavin, B. Dubrovin, B. Mukhametzhanov, *Minimal Liouville Gravity correlation numbers from Douglas string equation*, JHEP **1401** (2014) 156.

[5] V. Belavin, *Unitary minimal Liouville gravity and Frobenius manifolds*, JHEP **07** (2014) 129.

[6] A. Belavin, V. Belavin, *Frobenius manifolds, integrable hierarchies and minimal Liouville gravity*, JHEP **09** (2014) 151.

[7] L. Spodyneiko, *Minimal Liouville gravity on the torus via matrix models*, Journal of Physics A, Volume **48**, Number **6**, 2014, arXive: 1407.3546 [hep-th].

[8] V. Belavin, Yu. Rud, *Matrix model approach to minimal Liouville gravity revisited*, J. Phys. A **48**, no. 18, 18FT01 (2015), arXiv:1502.05575 [hep-th].

[9] V. Belavin, *Correlation Functions in Unitary Minimal Liouville Gravity and Frobenius Manifolds*, *JHEP* **02** (2015) 052, arXiv:1412.4245 [hep-th].

[10] B. Dubrovin, *Integrable systems in topological field theory*, Nucl.Phys. **B379** (1992) 627–689.

[11] A. M. Polyakov, *Quantum geometry of bosonic Strings*, Phys.Lett. **B103** (1981) 207-210.

[12] V. Knizhnik, A. M. Polyakov, and A. Zamolodchikov, *Fractal Structure of 2D quantum gravity*, Mod.Phys.Lett. **A3** (1988) 819.

[13] Al. Zamolodchikov, *On the three-point function in minimal Liouville gravity*, 2005, arXive:0505.063 [hep-th].

[14] A. Belavin, Al. Zamolodchikov, *Integral over moduli spaces, ground ring and four-point function in minimal Liouville gravity*, Theor.and Math.Phys. **147** (2006), no.3, 729-754.

[15] M. R. Douglas, *Strings in less than one-dimension and the generalised KdV hierarchies*, Phys.Lett. **B238** (1990) 176.

[16] R. Dijkgraaf, H. L. Verlinde and E. P. Verlinde, *Topological strings in d less than 1*, Nucl.Phys. **B352** (1991) 59-86.

[17] D. Gepner, *Fusion rings and geometry*, Commun.Math. Phys. **141** (1992) 381-411.

[18] W. Lerche, C. Vafa, N. Warner, *Chiral rings in $N = 2$ superconformal theories*, Nucl. Phys. **B234** (1989) 427.

[19] E. Martinec, *Algebraic geometry and effective lagrangians*, Phys. Lett. **217B**(1989) 431.

[20] K. Saito, *Period mapping associated to a primitive form*, PubL RIMS, Kyoto Univ., **19** (1983), 1231-1264.

[21] M. Noumi, *Expansion of the Solutions of a Gauss-Manin System at a Point of Infinity*, Tokyo J. of Math. **7** (1984) 1-60.

[22] B. Blok, A. Varchenko, *Topological conformal field theories and the flat coordinates*, Internat. J. Mod. Phys. **7** (1992) 1467-1490.

[23] A. Losev, *"Hodge strings" and elements of K. Saito's theory of the Primitive form*, arXiv:9801179 [hep-th].

[24] A. Belavin, D. Gepner and Y. Kononov, *Flat coordinates for Saito Frobenius manifolds and String theory,* arXiv:1510.06970 [hep-th].

[25] A. Belavin, V. Belavin, *To be published.*

[26] A. Klemm, S. Theisen and M. G. Schmidt, *Correlation functions for topological Landau-Ginzburg models with* $c \leq 3$, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A7** (1992) 6215. doi:10.1142/S0217751X92002817